or the San Antonio Air Logistics Center until the Secretary—

- (1) publishes criteria for the evaluation of bids and proposals to perform such workload;
- (2) conducts a competition for the workload between public and private entities;
- (3) pursuant to the competition, determines in accordance with the criteria published under paragraph (1) that an offer submitted by a private sector source to perform the workload is the best value for the United States; and
  - (4) submits to Congress the following—
- (A) a detailed comparison of the cost of the performance of the workload by civilian employees of the Department of Defense with the cost of the performance of the workload by that source; and
- (B) an analysis which demonstrates that the performance of the workload by that source will provide the best value for the United States over the life of the contract.

## THE ALTERNATIVE MEANS OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION ACT OF 1996

#### COHEN AMENDMENT NO. 5421

Mr. GRASSLEY (for Mr. COHEN) proposed an amendment to the bill (H.R. 4194) to reauthorize alternative means of dispute resolution in the Federal administrative process, and for other purposes; as follows:

# At the end of the bill insert the following: SEC. 12. JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS AND THE DISTRICT COURTS OF THE UNITED STATES: BID PROTESTS.

- (a) BID PROTESTS.—Section 1491 of Title 28, United States Code, is amended—
- (1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c);
- (2) in subsection (a) by striking out paragraph (3); and
- (3) by inserting after subsection (a), the
- following new subsection:
- (b) (i) Both the United States Court of Federal Claims and the district courts of the United States shall have jurisdiction to render judgment on an action by an interested party objecting to a solicitation by a Federal agency for bids or proposals for a proposed contract or to a proposed award or the award of a contract or any alleged violation of statute or regulation in connection with a procurement or a proposed procurement. Both the United States Court of Federal Claims and the district courts of the United States shall have jurisdiction to entertain such an action without regard to whether suit is instituted before or after the contract is awarded.
- "(2) To afford relief in such an action, the courts may award any relief that the court considers proper, including declaratory and injunctive relief except that any monetary relief shall be limited to bid preparation and proposal costs.
- "(3) In exercising jurisdiction under this subsection, the courts shall give due regard to the interests of national defense and national security and the need for expeditious resolution of the action.
- "(4) In any action under this subsection, the courts shall review the agency's decision pursuant to the standards set forth in section 706 of title 5."
- (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on December 31, 1996 and shall apply to all actions filed on or after that date.
- (c) STUDY.—No earlier than 2 years after the effective date of this section, the United States General Accounting Office shall un-

dertake a study regarding the concurrent jurisdiction of the district courts of the United States and the Court of Federal Claims over bid protests to determine whether concurrent jurisdiction is necessary. Such a study shall be completed no later than December 31, 1999, and shall specifically consider the effect of any proposed change on the ability of small businesses to challenge violations of federal procurement law.

(d) SUNSET.—The jurisdiction of the district courts of the United States over the actions described in section 1491(b)(1) of title 28, United States Code, (as amended by subsection (a) of this section) shall terminate on January 1, 2001 unless extended by Congress. The savings provisions in subsection (e) shall apply if the bid protest jurisdiction of the district courts of the United States terminates under this subsection.

(e) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—

- (1) ORDERS.—A termination under subsection (d) shall not terminate the effectiveness of orders that have been issued by a court in connection with an action within the jurisdiction of that court on or before December 31, 2000. Such orders shall continue in effect according to their terms until modified, terminated, superseded, set aside, or revoked by a court of competent jurisdiction or by operation of law.
- (2) PROCEEDINGS AND APPLICATIONS.—(A) A termination under subsection (d) shall not affect the jurisdiction of a court of the United States to continue with any proceeding that is pending before the court on December 31, 2000.
- (B) Orders may be issued in any such proceeding, appeals may be taken therefrom, and payments may be made pursuant to such orders, as if such termination had not occurred. An order issued in any such proceeding shall continue in effect until modified, terminated, superseded, set aside, or revoked by a court of competent jurisdiction or by operation of law.
- (C) Nothing in this paragraph prohibits the discontinuance or modification of any such proceeding under the same terms and conditions and to the same extent that proceeding could have been discontinued or modified absent such termination.
- (f) NONEXCLUSIVITY OF GAO REMEDIES.—In the event that the bid protest jurisdiction of the district courts of the United States is terminated pursuant to subsection (d), then section 3556 of title 31, United States Code, shall be amended by striking "a court of the United States or" in the first sentence.

## THE PENSION CHOICE AND SECURITY ACT OF 1996

## McCAIN AMENDMENTS NOS. 5422-5423

(Ordered to lie on the table)

Mr. McCAIN submitted two amendments intended to be proposed by him to the bill (H.R. 4000) supra; as follows:

AMENDMENT No. 5422

At the end, add the following:

#### SEC. 2. LIMITATION ON DEFENSE FUNDING OF THE NATIONAL DRUG INTEL-LIGENCE CENTER.

- (a) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1997 may not be obligated or expended for the National Drug Intelligence Center, Johnstown, Pennsylvania.
- (b) EXCEPTION.—If the Attorney General operates the National Drug Intelligence Center using funds available for the Department

of Justice, the Secretary of Defense may continue to provide Department of Defense intelligence personnel to support intelligence activities at the Center. The number of such personnel providing support to the Center after the date of the enactment of this Act may not exceed the number of the Department of Defense intelligence personnel who are supporting intelligence activities at the Center on the day before such date.

### SEC. 3. INVESTIGATION OF THE NATIONAL DRUG INTELLIGENCE CENTER.

- (a) INVESTIGATION REQUIRED.—The Inspector General of the Department of Defense, the Inspector General of the Department of Justice, the Inspector General of the Central Intelligence Agency, and the Comptroller General of the United States shall—
- (1) jointly investigate the operations of the National Drug Intelligence Center, Johnstown, Pennsylvania; and
- (2) not later than March 31, 1997, jointly submit to the President pro tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a report on the results of the investigation.
- (b) CONTENT OF REPORT.—The joint report shall contain a determination regarding whether there is a significant likelihood that the funding of the operation of the National Drug Intelligence Center, a domestic law enforcement program, through an appropriation under the control of the Director of Central Intelligence will result in a violation of the National Security Act of 1947 or Executive Order 12333.

#### AMENDMENT No. 5423

At the end of the Act, insert the following:
SEC. .AUTHORITY TO DISPOSE OF CERTAIN MATERIALS IN NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TO FUND ACTIVITIES RELATING TO THE SEARCH FOR INDIVIDUALS MISSING IN ACTION AND BELIEVED TO BE PRISONERS OF

- (A) AUTHORITY TO DISPOSE.—The President may dispose of materials contained in the National Defense Stockpile and specified in the table in subsection (b),
- (b) LIMITATION ON DISPOSAL QUANTITY.— The total quantities of materials authorized for disposal by the President under subsection (a) may not exceed the amounts set forth in the following table:

Material for disposal	Quantity
Chrome Metal, Electrolytie	8,471 short tons.
Cobalt	9,902,774 pounds.
Columbium Carbide	21,372 pounds.
Columbium Ferro	249,395 pounds.
Diamond, Bort	91,542 carats.
Diamond, Stone	3.029.413 carats.
Germanium	28,207 kilograms.
Indium	15,205 troy ounces.
Palladium	1,249,601 troy ounces.
Platium	442,641 troy ounces.
Rubber	567 long tons.
Tantalum, Carbide Powder	22,688 pounds contained.
Tantalum, Minerals	1,748,947 pounds contained.
Tantalum, Oxide	123,691 pounds contained.
Titanium Sponge	36.830 short tons.
Tungsten	76,358,235 pounds.
Tungsten, Carbide	2,032,942 pounds.
Tungsten, Metal Powder	1,181,921 pounds.
Tungsten, Ferro	2,024,143 pounds.

- (c) Minimization of Disruption and Loss.—The President may not dispose of materials under subsection (a) to the extent that the disposal will result in—
- (1) undue disruption of the usual markets of producers, processors, and consumers of the materials proposed for disposal; or
- (2) avoidable loss to the United States.
- (d) AVAILABILITY OF RECEIPTS.—(1) Notwithstanding section 9 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98h), funds received as a result of the